भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (i) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (i)

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 172] No. 172] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, अप्रैल 7, 2007/चैत्र 17, 1929 NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, APRIL 7, 2007/CHAITRA 17, 1929

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 5th April, 2007

G.S.R. 275(E).—Under Rule 5(i) and (ii) of Order IV, Supreme Court Rules, 1966 (as amended) and Regulation (2) of the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination made thereunder governing the Examination for Advocates-on-Record, it is hereby notified for the information of all concerned that the next Examination for the Advocates-on-Record will be held in the Supreme Court Premises, New Delhi on 11th, 12th, 13th & 14th June, 2007.

- 2. All Advocates who will be completing one year's continuous training on or before 30th April, 2007 are eligible to appear for the aforesaid examination.
- 3. Applications should reach the Secretary, Board of Examiners, by 11th May, 2007. The application forms may be obtained from the office of Secretary on any working day during office hours. No application shall be accepted after 11th May, 2007.
- 4. Acceptance of the application is subject to production of requisite certificate relating to training from an Advocate-on-Record under Regulation 6 of the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination.
- 5. A list of leading Cases with regard to Paper-IV reading as 'Leading Cases' is appended to this Notification as Annexure 'A'.
- No application/representation for re-evaluation as well as for checking of totalling of marks shall be entertained.
- 7. In ensusing examinations those who are given roll numbers and who absent themselves in examination without informing sufficient reasons in writing will be treated as not sufficiently prepared and will be dealt with

under Regulation 5 (b) without giving further opportunity and time may be prescribed within which they shall not present themselves again for examination without prior permission of Chairman of Board of Examiners.

ANNEXURE-A

13-12-2005

REVISEDLISTOFLEADING CASES

- The State of West Bengal Vs. Anwar Ali Sarkar (1952) SCR 284.
- The Commissioner, Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras Vs. Sri Lakshmindra Tirtha Swamiar of Sri Shirur Mutt

(1954) SCR 1005

- In Re. the Kerala Education Bill, 1957. Reference under Article 143 (1) of the Constitution of India (1959) SCR 995
- 3B. TMA Pai Foundation Vs. State of Karnataka (2002) Suppl. 3 SCR 587
- 3C. PA Inamdar Vs. State of Maharashtra (2005) 6 SCC 537
- 4A. Pandit M.S.M. Sharma Vs. Shree Krishna Sinha & Ors. (1959) Suppl. 1 SCR 806.
- 4B. People's Union for Civil Libertles (PUCL) & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Anr. (2003) 2 SCR 1136.
- The Automobile Transport (Rajasthan) Ltd. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (and connected appeals) 1963 (1) SCR 491.
- State Trading Corporation of India Vs. The Commercial Tax Officer, Visakhapatanam (1964) 4 SCR 99
- 7. In Re: Keshav Singh (Special Reference No. 1 of (1964) (1965) 1 SCR 413.
- 8. Naresh Shridhar Mirajkar Vs. State of Maharashtra

1992 GI/2007

(1966) 3 SCR 744.

9. Golaknath Vs. State of Punjab (1967) 2 SCR 762.

10. Rustom Cowasjee Cooper Vs. Union of India (1970) 3 SCR 530.

 H.H. Maharajadhiraja Madhav Rao Jiwaji Rao Vs.
 Union of India (1971) 3 SCR 9

12A. Keshayananda Bharati Vs. State of Kerala (1973) Supp. SCR 1

12B. S.R. Bommai Vs. Union of India (1994) 2 SCR 644

12C. L. Chandra Kumar Vs. Union of India (1997) 2 SCR 1186

12D. Indira Gandhi Vs. Raj Narain (1976) 2 SCR 347

12E. Minerva Mills Vs. Union of India (1981) 1 SCR 206

12F. Waman Rao Vs. Union of India (1981) 2 SCR 1

13. Samsher Singh Vs. State of Panjab (1975) 1 SCR 814

14. ADM Jabalpur Vs. S. Shukla (1976) Suppl. SCR. 172

15. R. S. Joshi Vs. Ajit Mills (1978) 1 SCR 338

16. Trustees for the Improvement of Calcutta Vs. Chandrasekhar Mallick (1978) 1 SCR 136

 Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A. Rajappa (1978) 3 SCR 207

18. Madan Mohan Pathak Vs. Union of India (1978) 3 SCR 334

19. State of Karnataka Vs. Union of India (1978) 2 SCR 1

20. Maneka Gandhi Vs. Union of India (1978) 2 SCR 621

21. In Re: The Special Courts Bill, 1978 (1979)? SCR 476

22. S. P. Gupta Vs. Union of India (1982) 2 SCR 365

23. M. C. Mehta & Another Vs. Union of India & Ors. (1987) 1 SCR 819

24A. A. R. Antulay Vs. R. S. Nayak (1988) Suppl. 1 SCR 1

24B. Rupa Ashok Hurra Vs. Ashok Hurra & Anr. (2002) 2 SCR 1006

25. Synthetics & Chemicals Ltd. Vs. State of U.P. (1989) Suppl. 1 SCR 623

26. Indira Sawhney Vs. Union of India (1992) Suppl. 2 SCR 454

Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Asso.Vs.
 Union of India
 (1993) Suppl. 2 SCR 659

8. Mafatlal Industries Vs. Union of India

(1996) Suppl. SCR 585

29. New Delhi Municipal Council Vs. State of Punjab etc. etc.

(1996) Suppl, 10 SCR 472

30. Vishaka & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (1997) Suppl. 3 SCR 404

 Supreme Court Bar Association Vs. Union of India (1998) 2 SCR 795

P.V. Narasimha Rao etc. etc. Vs. State (CBI/SPE) etc. etc.
 (1998) 2 SCR 870

 Special Reference No. 1 of 1998 (1998) Suppl. 2 SCR 400

 Dr. Preeti Srivastava & Anr. etc. etc. Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors. (1999) Suppl. I SCR 249

35. State of Maharashtra Vs. Millind & Ors. (2000) Suppl. 5 SCR 65

36. E. S. Rajaram & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors. (2001) 1 SCR 203

 M/s. Somaiya Organics (India) Ltd. Vs. State of U. P. & Anr. (2001) 3 SCR 33

 B. R. Kapur Vs. State of Tamil Nadu & Anr. (2001) Suppl. 3 SCR 191

39. In Re: Arundhati Roy-Contemnor (2002) 2 SCR 213

40. P. Rama Chandra Rao Vs. State of Karnataka (2002) 3 SCR 60

41. Pradeep Kumar Biswas & Ors. Vs. Indian Institute of Chemical Biology & Ors. (2002) 3 SCR 100

42. Special Reference No. 1 of 2002 (2002) Suppl. 3 SCR 366

43. The State of West Bengal & Ors. Vs. Kesoram Industries Ltd. & Ors. (2004) 1 SCR 564

Standard Chartered Bank & Ors. Vs. Directorate of Enforcement & Ors.

 (2005)4 SCC 530

45. State of Gujarat Vs. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi Kassab Jamat & Ors. (2005)8 SCC 534

46. S. B. P. & Co. Vs. Patel Engineering Ltd. & Anr. (2005) 8 SCC 618

Note (for item Nos. 12 E & 12F):—The correctness of these judgments have been referred to a larger Bench preferably of nine Judges in I. R. Coelho (Dead), by LRs etc. Vs. State of Tamil Nadu etc. (1999) Suppl. 2 SCR 394.

Note (for Item No. 17):—The correctness of the interpretation of the word "industry" in this case stands referred to a larger Bench in State of U.P. Vs. Jai Bir Singh (2005) 5 SCC 1.

[F. No. AOR Exam/June/2007] SUNIL THOMAS, Registrar & Secy., Board of Examiners